

### Arista CloudEOS Multi-Cloud Solution Guide

Enabling enterprise networking 'at the speed of Cloud'

# ARISTA

## Table of contents

Why Multi-Cloud?	3
Multi-Cloud Architecture and Challenges	3
Arista CloudEOS - Multi-Cloud Networking Solution	4
Solution Goals	4
Solution Components	5
1 - CloudEOS Router VM	6
Underlay network in the public cloud	7
Overlay network in the public cloud	7
2 - Hashicorp Terraform Provider	10
3 - Cloudvision	11
Putting it all together	13
CloudEOS Use-Cases	14
Secure Multi-Cloud Edge	15
Multi-Cloud Path Optimization	18
Consistent Segmentation with Central Policy Enforcement	19
Multi-Cloud Network Segmentation	20
Firewall Insertion for Central Policy Enforcement	21
CloudEOS and AWS Transit Gateway Integration	22
Visibility and Governance	24
Summary	29
Appendix	29

#### Why Multi-Cloud?

ARISTA

With more employees now working from home and customers accessing information and services from their mobile devices, organizations are accelerating their cloud migration, and multi-cloud adoption for fast application delivery and high velocity innovation. Organizations like the flexibility provided by multiple public cloud service providers and are looking to optimize workloads as they migrate, in addition to managing cost and introducing better governance to ensure operational efficiency.

#### **Multi-Cloud Architecture and Challenges**

Multi-cloud architecture is the logical next step in the evolution that allows organizations to distribute their workloads across the various cloud services providers. Some benefits the organizations see with this approach are:

- Improved application availability with reduced latency
- Superior security while meeting regulatory requirements
- Optimized ROI (Return On Investment)
- Autonomy by avoiding vendor-lock in
- Higher reliability

The previous decade was about moving all application workloads to the public cloud, however in the past few years, most organizations have now adopted a hybrid multi-cloud strategy wherein they maintain both an on-premise private cloud and leverage the flexibility and agility provided by the public cloud. This is done primarily for security, compliance, data protection and autonomy / prevent vendor-lock in.

Multi-Cloud architecture has its own challenges as well. The common ones are:

- Inconsistency and non-repeatable: each cloud provider has its own uniqueness like disparate network architectures, features, and scales which create a steep learning curve for customers to operate in the cloud and create operational challenges across existing environments like data center and campus networks. Thus, bringing up a new VPC, VNET and connecting it to the enterprise's existing environment usually requires weeks of work.
- Limited visibility and observability: most enterprise customers find it extremely difficult to troubleshoot a network issue in the public cloud due to the lack of information and visibility, especially when troubleshooting requires across domain coordination and packet-level observability.
- High cost: Oftentimes, enterprise customers find that public cloud doesn't always reduce IT cost. Different applications sharing
  data across different clouds will increase data charge dramatically. Adopting a new cloud provider usually means adding 4~6
  engineers to support production services, different runbooks, ongoing network changes, escalations, which would impact the
  organization's overall budget plan.



#### Arista CloudEOS - Multi-Cloud Networking Solution

CloudEOS is Arista's multi-cloud and cloud-native networking solution supporting autonomic operation to deliver an enterpriseclass, highly-secure, and reliable networking experience for extending an enterprise network to any cloud. As part of the Arista EOS and CloudVision product family, it delivers consistent segmentation, automation, telemetry, provisioning and troubleshooting for the enterprise edge, WAN, campus, data center and multiple public and private clouds. Arista provides the CloudEOS Router for cloud connectivity, offering the same features found in the Arista WAN Routing System (AWE-7200R), which can be deployed within customer on-premises networks. More information about Arista AWE-7200R information can be found <u>here</u>.

To provide a scalable and automated network experience, CloudEOS integrates with Arista CloudVision to simplify the operators experience of interconnecting and managing multi-cloud, cloud-native and on-premises enterprise networks. Leveraging a network-wide approach for workload orchestration and workflow automation, together with advanced network telemetry and standards-based programmability, CloudEOS and CloudVision provide a seamless and universal approach to multi-cloud networking.



Figure 1: Arista CloudEOS Solution Overview

#### **Solution Goals**

In a multi-cloud deployment, flexibility and agility in managing the solution are key:

- Launching an app into any cloud while maintaining network consistency
- Easily scaling up across new regions and providers
- Replatforming to a new cloud based on geography, performance, or cost
- Re-entry back into an on-premises facility if that is the best business decision



To support these goals the following technology components are central to Arista's quest in providing a uniform multi-cloud solution:



### Solution Components

ARISTA



CloudEOS Router VM for Public and Private Cloud

For Major Public Clouds and On-Prem



#### CloudEOS Router for Kubernetes

Containerised version of Arista EOS for Kubernetes Clusters



Hashicorp Terraform provider

Arista CloudEOS Terraform provider for declarative provisioning of the multi-cloud



#### CloudVision

On-Prem cluster or Cloudvision as a Service (CVaaS) for management, automation and real-time visibility

Figure 2: Arista CloudEOS Solution Components

#### 1 - CloudEOS Router VM

ARISTA

The <u>Arista CloudEOS<sup>™</sup> Router VM</u> is a cloud-grade, feature-rich, multi-cloud and multi-hypervisor virtual router that enables enterprises and cloud providers to build consistent, highly secure and scalable multi-cloud networks. Already proven in the most demanding public cloud infrastructures, CloudEOS Router VM (CloudEOS-VR) extends the Arista EOS platform from Arista's physical switching and routing platforms into the virtualized cloud environment.

Arista CloudEOS-VR is available in the major public cloud marketplaces for hourly or BYOL consumption (AWS, Azure, GCP). CloudEOS-VR can also be deployed in the on-prem private cloud on standard x86 virtualisation platforms or pre-packaged on an Arista Physical Appliance Platform.



CloudEOS is based on the same network operating system already proven in the most demanding public clouds, government and enterprise infrastructures, and utilizes the exact same binary image and release trains as all Arista EOS platforms, physical or virtual. Arista CloudEOS extends the Arista EOS platform with a powerful and elastic automated deployment model. This approach ensures that the CloudEOS platform will always support the latest EOS features, with the same high quality and platform compatibility as the entire Arista networking portfolio

At its core, Arista EOS provides an extremely robust, stable and resilient network-operating environment for the cloud while delivering on the need for openness, software modularity and extensibility.

The following are key attributes of CloudEOS, optimised for the multi-cloud use-cases:

- Proven Routing
- Dynamic Path Selection
- Secure Tunneling
- High Availability
- High Performance (DPDK)
- State Streaming Telemetry
- APIs and Programmability
- Multi-hypervisor and cloud-native packages
- CloudVision Integration

In a Cloud deployment context, CloudEOS may separate design patterns into three layers:

CloudLeaf,

ARISTA

- CloudSpine
- CloudEdge



Figure 4: CloudEOS Design Patterns

Each layer has a set of CloudEOS Routers, enabling horizontal network scale-out, while also providing network visibility via realtime state-streaming telemetry, flow visibility and consistent operations across DC, campus and cloud. The design pattern is highly flexible, and fully interoperates with native cloud networking services like AWS Transit GW (TGW).

This model aligns well with Arista UCN (Universal Cloud Network) design for both data centers, campus and now public clouds and multi-cloud.

Depending on the customer use-cases and scale required, CloudEdge and CloudSpine can be collapsed into a single layer which will cover services such as Firewalls, IPS, Load Balancers, connectivity to other application layer VPCs / VNETs and WAN connectivity.

Using the CloudEOS Routers the network engineering team can build a highly scalable and repeatable architecture based on open standards protocols across the multi-cloud environment.

#### Underlay network in the public cloud

The first step in building the Arista CloudEOS architecture is deploying an underlay which allows establishing the initial router to router reachability, just like the VXLAN VTEP loopback reachability is established in an on-premises environment.

VPC and VNET peering are leveraged as the underlay network. AWS TGW can also be used for scale reasons, or any new underlay services built by the cloud provider in future. The whole underlay network bring up is part of the Terraform provisioning, which is completely automated, so you don't have to do it manually.





For redundancy, the CloudEOS Routers can be deployed across availability zones. In the eventuality when an availability zone suffers connectivity issues, the Arista Cloud HA feature makes use of a BFD peering session between the two CloudEOS routers to determine connectivity loss. When this occurs, the Arista Cloud HA feature leverages the cloud native APIs to automatically remap the route tables within the failed availability zone to the remaining CloudEOS router in a different availability zone.

#### Overlay network in the public cloud

Once the underlay VTEP reachability is established between the CloudEOS routers, an overlay network will be built to support the creation of logical topologies in the public cloud. VXLAN is used in the dataplane, BGP EVPN as the control plane, much in the same way you would build a VXLAN fabric in your DC.

Segments such as 'dev' and 'prod' can be placed into their own dedicated VRF and can be carried cross clouds and back to the DC to maintain an end-to-end consistent segmentation.

Since these routers are just like any Arista EOS device, you can perform all the fine-grained routing control like BGP prefix list, routemap, community to further optimize your routing path.

All the overlay configurations are automatically generated by CloudVision as part of the Terraform provisioning and automatically deployed to CloudEOS Router by CloudVision, and you don't have to configure it manually. Apart from routing, the overlay VXLAN fabric allows you to provide more advanced services that cloud providers couldn't natively support or not in a consistent way, like performance monitoring, NAT for overlapping IP space issues, QoS to provide guaranteed bandwidth for mission critical applications and flow visibility.





Ultimately, extending the overlay between different public clouds and on-premises DCs will allow the creation of topologies that maintain consistency and secure segmentation across the hybrid-cloud via stretched Cloud Network Private Segments (CNPS).

**Cloud Network Private Segments** are large, scalable, multi-cloud VRFs glued together using the overlay and secured via IPsec encryption. Granular policies can be applied within the CNPS using application and host-aware policy while controls between segments can be enforced using high-performance virtual next-generation firewalls.

A common deployment model would have one CNPS for each Production, Staging, Development, and Test VPCs or VNET.



Figure 7: Cloud Network Private Segments

As the multi-cloud architecture is deployed, a key component of the solution is the ability to optimise and secure the cross-cloud and private DC connectivity.

To achieve this, each CloudEdge auto-discovers the available paths to the others and automatically establishes IPsec based data plane encryption. For optimised forwarding, and leveraging Dynamic Path Selection (DPS), each CloudEdge measures delay, latency, loss, and bandwidth for each potential path, and then applies this data, in real-time, to determine which path to use for which traffic class or application.





Figure 8: Secure Multi-Cloud Connectivity

#### 2 - Hashicorp Terraform Provider

To support delivering environments rapidly and at scale, a new attitude towards automating the multi-cloud solution is required. Arista has chosen Hashicorp Terraform to implement the CloudEOS solution using an Infrastructure-as-Code (IaC) approach.

Terraform is a tool for building, changing, and versioning infrastructure safely and efficiently. Infrastructure is described using a high-level configuration syntax which allows the creation of blueprints for provisioning while enabling resharing and reusing of the templates. In effect, similar to how code is maintained through a DevOps framework, the deployment can now be versioned and maintained as any other code.

Cloud workloads often require quick deployment and destruction of resources especially for transitive workloads that are created to solve a business requirement for a defined period of time. Terraform makes it easy to create the network infrastructure resources, manage the existing infrastructure and finally destroy the components that are no longer needed.

Arista provides a github repository that includes a set of cloud design patterns built and maintained by Arista. The templates provided are built based upon our past customer experiences and they form a solid base for various deployment scenarios. Customers can also modify the templates, or leverage Arista professional services for customization and integration. <u>And more...</u> An ew multi-cloud architecture can be deployed in three simple steps:

- 1. Select the Terraform template from the github repository
- 2. Customise it to match their requirements: number of VPCs, VNETs needed, what CIDR blocks to use, how the VPCs can be connected and placed in which CNPS for proper secure segmentation
- 3. Deploy the template.

Terraform will interface with the associated cloud provider via specific Terraform providers or APIs and will provision the cloud constructs such as VPCs, VNETs, subnets, route tables, as well as the CloudEOS Router VMs in each of those environments based on the design pattern or template selected at the beginning.

After the CloudEOS router instance boots up, Terraform initiates a secure connection to CloudVision for device onboarding, then CloudVision sends the EOS configurations to the CloudEOS routers to build out the overlay network.





Figure 9: CloudEOS Solution Provisioning Workflow

#### 3 - CloudVision

Arista's CloudVision is a turnkey management plane providing a modern approach to automation and telemetry. Arista Cloudvision is available as an enterprise-grade cloud-based Software-as-a-Service (SaaS) platform or available for deployment as virtual or physical appliances on-premise.

By delivering CloudVision as a cloud-based SaaS platform, customers can now have a unified and automated deployment, provisioning, and maintenance experience with no onsite resources to set up and manage. Further, Arista provides all the ongoing maintenance and tuning of the service, delivers data encryption that is always on, provides proactive security patching, and enables elastic scaling, automated backup, failover, and recovery so that customers no longer need to worry about the reliability, performance, and security of their management software infrastructure.

Cloudvision is a software product for managing any EOS instance - CloudEOS router VMs, EOS running on physical Arista switches for both DC and Campus use-cases. Unique across the industry, CloudVision becomes the single management plane across all enterprise use-cases and provides correlated visibility for the data center, hybrid cloud, and even campus, helping to break down traditional box-based network silos.





Figure 10: CloudVision - a single tool for all enterprise use-cases

As CloudEOS solution has at its core the same software image used on all Arista platforms, the same flexibility is achieved for the multi-cloud solution through using a centralized network database, NetDB, that leverages real-time state-streaming to collect an aggregate view of the entire network state. With NetDB, CloudVision becomes the point of abstraction enabling enterprise-grade network-wide automation, time-series visibility with state streaming analytics, and 3rd party integrations across the CloudEOS solution.

Cloudvision provides the following features and benefits:

- State Streaming Telemetry: real time streaming telemetry providing a correlated view across the multi-cloud solution, coupled with historical state for forensics troubleshooting
- Automated Provisioning: allows ongoing automated configuration deployments. Simple to use 'Configlets" provide modularity and simple re-use across the multi-cloud solution
- Change Control: automated upgrades, network rollback, and network snapshots
- **Compliance:** automatic reporting for security, audit and patch management with dashboards providing a real-time assessment of exposure to known software defects and PSIRT issues that affect the install base, and remediation recommendations
- **Open API:** RESTful APIs for all CloudVision functionality that can be used for scripting as well as integration with other management platforms and workflow tools.

### Putting it all together

ARISTA

When deploying the Arista CloudEOS solution there are 4 simple steps involved:



Figure 11: Steps To Build Out Your Multi-Cloud Network

- 1. Register for a CVaaS account on arista.io
- 2. Subscribe to CloudEOS Router on AWS, Azure or GCP marketplaces
- 3. Download Terraform Templates, customise to match your requirements and deploy the multi-cloud environment
- 4. Manage the lifecycle of the deployment using Cloudvision for visibility and automation

### ARISTA

#### **CloudEOS Use-Cases**

This section provides a high level overview of the various technical use cases that are provided by the Arista CloudEOS multi-cloud solution. An organisation may deploy all or some of these offerings depending on their requirements. As such the Arista solution provides for a lot of flexibility and one can pick and choose based on their particular situation.





-

Compliance view

#### Secure Multi-Cloud Edge

.

-----

-

The most common multi-cloud deployment model that utilizes cloud native tools is to backhaul traffic from the cloud to the on-premise datacenter to interconnect the customer instances in the various cloud services providers. As such the on-premise datacenter becomes the "transit" transport between the various cloud providers, leading to the need to provision bandwidth just for the transit use case, causing an increase in latency, cost and capacity planning overheads.

Using the design patterns that were introduced in the previous sections we can therefore address the primary use-case with the CloudEOS virtual appliance i.e. providing for dynamic secure, on-demand multi-cloud edge connectivity.

Arista introduces the CloudEdge CloudEOS instance to provide for dynamic secure connectivity between the various CloudEdge instances in the multi-cloud setup. We can extend this CloudEdge concept to the on-premise data center to provide for a secure hybrid multi-cloud edge utilizing dynamic IPSEC tunnels. These tunnels can be over the internet or dedicated connections such as Direct Connect or Express Route. For on-premise deployments, CloudEOS can also use paths provided by MPLS, LTE etc. in addition to the internet.

There are two main networking constructs to interconnect the various workload VPC's and the on-premise connectivity requirements. These are achieved using:

- 1. Transit VPC/VNET to interconnect the various workload VPC/VNET's in a certain cloud for a region. It would be recommended to use CloudLeaf and CloudSpine to form a leaf/spine architecture within a region for that specific CSP. There are two further options when it comes to deploying the CloudEdge instances:
  - a. Collapse the CloudEdge functionality into CloudSpine. This has been discussed earlier in the document
  - b. Deploy the CloudEdge within a dedicated VPC, and will act as the edge router that connects to other CloudEdge devices in other regions /clouds. When you compare this to the on-premise UCN architecture, this dedicated VPC is equivalent to the border/service leaf switch.

Most customers will end deploying option 1a i.e. collapse the CloudEdge into the CloudSpine as this will prove to be a more cost effective option. In either case, the connectivity between the various clouds will be between the CloudEdge instances.

2. AWS Transit Gateway (TGW) acts as a central hub and interconnects workload VPC's and will also provide for connectivity to the on-premise deployment. Arista provides for integration with TGW and we have provided for more details later in this document in the use-cases section.

The various CloudEdge instances in the various clouds need to autodiscover each other to form the BGP EVPN control plane and then subsequently enable the VXLAN for data plane purposes

In order to keep the solution simple and open-standards based, Arista introduces the concept of a route reflector in the design. The route reflector is a standard BGP implementation which reduces the requirement for a full mesh of BGP EVPN sessions between the CloudEdges.

As such the steps for creating this solution are as follows:

ARISTA

- 1. Deploy the CloudEdges using the into the various clouds
- 2. Deploy BGP route reflectors (RR) for scaling the solution. These are referred to as cloud RR.
- 3. The CloudRR's can be deployed on premise or in the cloud. There is complete freedom in choosing where you deploy them. For redundancy we would recommend deploying at least 2 RR's
- 4. To create the sessions between the CloudEdge and the CloudRR, we then need to use Dynamic Path Selection (DPS) between the CloudEdge and the CloudRR. These DPS paths can be secured using IPSEC tunnels if so required
- 5. Once these are setup we can overlay EVPN sessions between the CloudEdge and CloudRR's
- 6. The CloudRR then discovers the various CloudEdges that make up the setup and allow the CloudEdges to create secure VXLAN based tunnels for the payload directly between themselves.

All of the above provisioned declaratively using Terraform provider templates and CloudVision in concert to provide an automated deployment without any manual intervention.

There are a host of technical benefits using this approach. Some of these are listed below:

- Reduction in the number of BGP EVPN sessions. Each CloudEdge has as many sessions as there are CloudRR instances
- On account of DPS there are multiple paths between the CloudEdges and the CloudRR providing for resiliency for the control plane
- The dataplane VXLAN tunnels are formed directly between the CloudEdge instances and the CloudRR is never in the datapath.
- The VXLAN tunnels can also be optionally encrypted with IPSEC and as such provides for security and privacy.
- Using the EVPN control plane we can overlay multiple CNPS between the various clouds.
- Since this deployment is an example of L3 eVPN, type 5 routes are advertised by each site which allows the routing tables to learn of the other subnets/CIDR blocks in the various CNPS.





Figure 12: CloudEOS Fabric Control Plane and Data Plane

The data plane used in this architecture is VXLAN. The CloudEdge instance as such acts as a Layer 3 VTEP with the VNI providing for VPN-capabilities and acts as a CNPS/VRF lookup key to ensure that routing lookup happens in the correct CNPS/VRF. This use-case is discussed later in this "segmentation" use case.

In order to keep the solution simple and scalable without operator overhead, Arista further utilizes BGP to dynamically discover sites along with IPSEC key generation and exchange. The ability to dynamically discover sites forms the basis of the multi-cloud path optimization use-case discussed as part of the "multi-path optimization" use case.

Arista secure multi-cloud solution provides for the following benefits:

- Normalize the network connectivity across the multi-cloud landscape
- Provide for repeatable, scalable, consistent design patterns
- Declarative provisioning via Terraform Hashicorp
- Dynamic routing updates and a rich set of network troubleshooting tools
- Consistent API's across the environment
- Consistent operational experience leading to operational efficiencies
- Streaming telemetry to unify the information from the various CloudEOS instances

#### **Multi-Cloud Path Optimization**

ARISTA

Multi-cloud path optimization refers to the ability of identifying and dynamically selecting the best path(s) between the various CloudEdges. This use case is therefore the natural progression to hybrid multi-cloud connectivity discussed earlier.

The basic concept of Dynamic Path Selection (DPS) is to select a path or paths between CloudEdge instances connected via secure IPSEC tunnels. These secure tunnels carry VXLAN encapsulated packets based on the control plane information provided by BGP EVPN.

The DPS Tunnel is therefore really an abstraction of all possible paths between the two CloudEdge nodes where the actual path chosen is a function policy and path telemetry information.

The figure shows that there exists multiple candidate paths between the AWS instance and the other clouds. DPS will select the path(s) based on application policy and will send traffic over the selected paths. As such we can carve out different links or paths based on the applications or even the different environments in a customer setup. Path characteristics that can be specified include latency, jitter, delay, packet loss and available bandwidth.

This figure below is an overly simplified view to get the point across. Also note that the diagram below only shows the DPS paths for the IPSEC tunnels for the VXLAN overlay. A similar construct will be present for DPS paths to the CloudRR for EVPN peering.



Figure 13: Multi-Cloud Connectivity with Multiple Available Paths

The combination of application profile and dynamic paths allows the operator to select paths based on the requirements that are unique to an organization. IPsec can be then added to those paths in the event that one would like to encrypt these sessions. In the internet use case shown above, IPsec becomes mandatory, however for dedicated circuits one may want to keep IPsec as optional and therefore we have provided the option to the customers to pick and choose features which make sense to them.

If multiple paths meet the criteria then the traffic is load balanced using equal cost multipathing (ECMP). Path preferences can be specified to select one path over another. As such the DPS feature allows one to carve out policies that ensure optimal performance for important applications without degradation to meet SLA targets in the face of changing network conditions without user intervention. In addition, one can leverage QoS, NAT etc if required to further optimize that traffic between the various clouds for a truly enterprise grade solution.





Figure 14: Multi-Cloud Path Optimization For Business Critical Applications

#### **Consistent Segmentation with Central Policy Enforcement**

Arista's strategy for network segmentation in both private and public clouds is based on open standards protocols and repeatable design patterns. A typical private cloud's network segmentation strategy may look something like this:



Figure 15: Network Segmentation in Data Center Using EVPN/VXLAN

The spine layer provides connectivity between the leaf layer and different leaf layer subnets are mapped to different VRFs (aka Virtual routing and forwarding). Inter-VRF as well as External connectivity happens at the Services leaf layer. A public cloud implementation can employ a similar strategy managed by Arista's Cloudvision Portal.

This strategy includes network-wide segmentation with a VXLAN (Virtual Extensible LAN) overlay and Border Gateway Protocol with eVPN as the NRLI (Network Layer Reachability Information). This open standards based implementation using BGP allows the customers to segment and inspect traffic with a firewall vendor of their choice.

Some of the benefits of using eVPN

- It is underpinned by BGP, which is open-standards based, most commonly used in most cloud infrastructures.
- It supports Layer-3 mobility services. (note that public clouds suppress Layer-2 traffic)
- It removes complexity and risk from critical aggregation points.

Given that we encourage employing VXLAN with BGP eVPN and all public clouds support VXLAN encapsulation, L3VPN services can very easily be extended between multiple clouds.

#### Multi-Cloud Network Segmentation

As part of the CloudEOS fabric bring up, which is based upon VXLAN and BGP eVPN, enterprise customers can map their cloud resources like VPCs, or VNETs, or subnets into different VRFs for network segmentation across multiple cloud providers. For example, DEV VPC in AWS can only talk to DEV VNET in Azure, but not the PROD VPC or VNET. For inter-segments communication that requires inspection, CloudEOS can route that traffic to a Central Policy Enforcement VPC, or a VNET, which may leverage a set of firewalls for traffic inspection purposes. With different design options, we can scale out the firewall clusters based on the performance needs. Inter-segments communication that doesn't need inspection, like shared services such as logging or active-directory that needs to be accessed from all segments, can be enabled through the VXLAN fabric via route leaking across different VRFs.

As described in the previous section related to how the VXLAN fabric is built in the public cloud, the underlay network can be chosen based on the scale and performance requirements, all the routing intelligence happens in the overlay network. Enterprise customers can easily build out a consistent segmentation solution, as shown below, which uses AWS TGW (more details with TGW is explained in the next section, TGW can be replaced with VPC peering or other techniques in Azure and GCP) as the underlay network.



Figure 16: Network Segmentation in Public Cloud

ARISTA

#### Network Segmentation Goal

Leaf1, Leaf3, and Leaf5 VPCs belong to the DEV environment, Leaf2, Leaf4 and Leaf6 VPCs belong to the PROD environment. All Leaf VPCs 1-6 have TGW VPC attachment associated with.

#### **CloudEOS Managed VPCs**

Leafs 1-4 demonstrate consistent overlay architecture that can be deployed across any cloud provider. CloudEOS routers in Leaf 1-4 are placed into a single TGW route table that enables basic ip reachability for all the CloudEOS routers to build out the VXLAN fabric and all segmentation happens within the VXLAN overlay fabric.

#### TGW Managed VPCs

Leaf 5 and 6 demonstrate the native segmentation capability provided by TGW, with placement into different TGW route tables, and without any CloudEOS Routers in those VPC's.

#### Service Edge VPC (where Firewall resides)

Service VPC, with its VPC attachments to Leaf 1-4 and Leaf 5-6, becomes the edge point for customers to insert services like firewalls, etc.

#### How Network Segmentation is Implemented

From Leaf 1 to Leaf4, each Leaf is logically mapped to a unique VRF (Virtual Router Forwarding), then gets mapped to a VXLAN VNI (Virtual Network Identifier), which ensures that traffic in the overlay stays segmented. The Ethernet interfaces shown in this topology in Leaf1 and Leaf3 are configured in VRF DEV - VNI 102 and Leaf2 and Leaf4 get mapped to the PROD VRF - VNI 103.

From Leaf 5 to Leaf6, since there is no CloudEOS in these VPCs. The CloudEOS in Service VPC has VPN attachments with multiple IPSec tunnels to TGW for route exchange. Each IPSec tunnel is placed into respective VRF on CloudEOS in Service VPC. For example, IPSec tunnel to TGW route table that has Leaf5 is placed under VRF DEV - VNI 102, IPSec tunnel to TGW route table that has Leaf6 is placed under VRF DEV - VNI 102, IPSec tunnel to TGW route table that has Leaf6 is placed under VRF DEV - VNI 102, IPSec tunnel to TGW route table that has Leaf6 is placed under VRF DEV - VNI 102, IPSec tunnel to TGW route table that has Leaf6 is placed under VRF DEV - VNI 102, IPSec tunnel to TGW route table that has Leaf6 is placed under VRF DEV - VNI 102, IPSec tunnel to TGW route table that has Leaf6 is placed under VRF DEV - VNI 102, IPSec tunnel to TGW route table that has Leaf6 is placed under VRF DEV - VNI 102, IPSec tunnel to TGW route table that has Leaf6 is placed under VRF DEV - VNI 102, IPSec tunnel to TGW route table that has Leaf6 is placed under VRF DEV - VNI 102, IPSec tunnel to TGW route table that has Leaf6 is placed under VRF DEV - VNI 102, IPSec tunnel to TGW route table that has Leaf6 is placed under VRF DEV - VNI 102, IPSec tunnel to TGW route table that has Leaf6 is placed under VRF DEV - VNI 102, IPSec tunnel to TGW route table that has Leaf6 is placed under VRF DEV - VNI 102, IPSec tunnel to TGW route table that has Leaf6 is placed under VRF DEV - VNI 102, IPSec tunnel to TGW route table that has Leaf6 is placed under VRF DEV - VNI 102, IPSec tunnel to TGW route table table that has Leaf6 is placed under VRF DEV - VNI 102, IPSec tunnel to TGW route table tabl

With that, Leaf 1,3,5 can talk to each other in the DEV environment, but not to Leaf 2,4,5 in the PROD environment.

#### Firewall Insertion for Central Policy Enforcement

A natural step after network segmentation is central policy enforcement. There are multiple factors to consider when designing a policy enforcement solution in the public cloud:

- What type of traffic
  - > Inter-segments, east-west/north-south communication
  - > Internet connectivity, compliance reason
- What approach
  - > Distributed vs centralized
  - > Vendor Firewalls vs Native Cloud Firewalls
  - > Active-Standby vs Active-Active
  - > Traffic symmetry (S-NAT vs no S-NAT)
- Network topologies
  - > Hub-spoke, full-mesh, transit gateway

At Arista, we provide solutions that work with all the design considerations. In the example below, we are showing one of the solutions with active / active firewalls inserted in the Services VPC, that provides enterprise customers the ability to allow / disallow Inter-CNPS or VRF traffic (for example, in our topology between the DEV and PROD segments).







We expanded the previous setup to include a pair of firewalls (any vendor) in the Service VPC. Using dedicated ethernet interfaces and GRE tunnels to abstract routing from the underlay between CloudEOS Routers and the firewalls. These GRE tunnel interfaces reside in DEV and Prod VRF respectively. DEV VRF tunnel interfaces on the CloudEOS Routers advertise prefixes for Leaf-1/3/5 VPC's and PROD VRF tunnel interfaces on the CloudEOS Routers advertise prefixes for Leaf-2/4/6 VPCs. GRE Tunnel interfaces on the firewalls are all in the default VRF.

With the firewalls advertising the DEV prefixes to PROD VRF and vice versa in this topology we can control what to allow and filter traffic between the VRFs or CNPSs. This solution can also be used to provide Internet access for all Leaf VPCs.

#### **CloudEOS and AWS Transit Gateway Integration**

Transit Gateway (TGW) is a networking service provided by AWS to interconnect VPCs, data centers and remote sites. It is highly scalable, but has limited feature sets. Integrating CloudEOS with AWS TGW enables enterprise-level features, multi-cloud routing and network visibility with seamless automated provisioning and deployment for enterprise customers. Customers can choose either of the two options below or mix-and-match based on their use-cases.





Figure 18: Integrating CloudEOS with AWS Transit Gateway (TGW)

#### Arista CloudEOS Edge with TGW

In the left option above, customers usually use TGW for network connectivity and segmentation between VPCs. Arista CloudEOS Edge provides edge connectivity between AWS TGW and on-prem DC, Campus and other public clouds. CloudEOS Edge router is connected to TGW using IPSec tunnel and exchange routes with BGP. For customers that are using TGW route domain for segmentation, they can extend TGW route domain to Arista CNPS (Cloud Network Private Segment) in customers' existing environments. For example, Dev VPC in AWS can only communicate with Dev VNET in Azure, and Dev resource in Arista on-prem DC, but not with Prod or Test environments unless through a central security device for inspection. Arista provides the Terraform template that automates the CloudEOS Edge deployment with TGW. Customers can monitor TGW, VPCs and CloudEOS Edge in CloudVision for network visibility, and flow visibility.

#### Arista Fabric over TGW

In the right option above, customers can provide more advanced enterprise services that native TGW could not support, like L3 EVPN, NAT to address overlapping ip space issues, subnet-level segmentation and QoS. CloudEOS Router will be placed as a routing gateway into customer's workload VPC which becomes CloudLeaf VPCs. CloudLeaf and CloudEdge VPC are connected using Arista DPS (Dynamic Path Selection) and BGP-EVPN on top of AWS TGW. This is a truly cloud-agnostic architecture that can be replicated into Azure and GCP easily. All the deployments are automated by Arista Terraform and CloudVision.

#### Mix-and-match

Depending on each application's requirements, customers can deploy "Arista CloudEOS Edge with TGW" for development and testing environments where standard AWS networking services are sufficient. For business critical applications like Prod environments, customers can deploy "Arista Fabric over TGW" to ensure applications performance, SLA and security. This is used in the previous network segmentation section that Leaf1-4 VPCs are using Arista Fabric over TGW, whereas Leaf5-6 VPCs are using Arista CloudEOS Edge with TGW.

#### **Visibility and Governance**

ARISTA

One of the challenges that organisations have is to collect visibility information from the multiple cloud environments that make up the hybrid multi-cloud deployment model and make sense of that data in a single dashboard that provides for an end to end view.

Every CSP provides for its own tool set to provide for a view into the networking aspects of cloud. However these are discrete data sets that need to be normalized and ingested into a customized platform making it hard from an administrative point of view. Secondly, each cloud provides management visibility at different aggregation time periods making the job of co-relating associated flows extremely hard if not outright impossible.

What is required is a turnkey solution that provides for management of the entire setup - on premise as well EOS instances in the cloud. This is where CloudVision comes into the picture.

CloudVision provides for network management of the entire network estate including on-premise as well cloud based workloads. Some of the key features from a visibility & governance standpoint are:

Network Topology view: Provides for a real-time view into the network topology and devices connected to it. Cloud Vision
allows you to overlay information on top of the topology to view parameters like bandwidth utilization, throughput, errors,
discards, CNPS/segmentation view etc. to get a bird's eye view into the network.



Figure 19: Network Topology View in CloudVision

- Cloud Specific Dashboards: This feature provides a single pane of glass which allows you to see relevant information across your multi-cloud setup. The intention behind these dashboards is to show information relevant to the networking environment for an enterprise. CVP and CloudEOS work in concert to provide a view across the various CSP's and visualize the state of the network between them. This includes:
  - > VPC/VNET details
  - > CIDR Blocks
  - > Account information
  - > CNPS details
  - > Path characteristics
  - > Connectivity details

•



	Devices	Events	Provisioning	Metrics	CloudTracer	Topology				admin c-arista	\$
Devices > Multi-Cloud Dashboard											
Inventory Compliance Overview		e Amazon V	Veb Services	Azure	Google Cloud I	Platform • Or	n-Prem				
Comparison		Region 1	VPC Name		Network Role	CIDR	Segment	CloudEOS	Account	VPC ID	
		Filter	fl-test		Filter	Filter	Filter	Filter	Filter	Filter	
Multi-Cloud Dashboard		us-east-1	fl-test-aws1-	-Leaf3Vpc	Cloud Leaf	103.2.0.0/16	dev	Yes	63191847781 7	vpc-03fbb1b0387 19	bf99
		us-east-1	fl-test-aws1-	-EdgeVpc	Cloud Edge	100.2.0.0/16	dev, prod	Yes	63191847781 7	vpc-0438e8ea19b ca	a5ee
		us-east-1	fl-test-aws1-	-Leaf4Vpc	Cloud Leaf	104.2.0.0/16	prod	Yes	63191847781 7	vpc-03ef4c5db15 3c	c47e
		us-east-1	fl-test-aws1-	-Leaf2Vpc	Cloud Leaf	102.2.0.0/16	prod	Yes	63191847781 7	vpc-0de747efa27 af	5611
		us-east-1	fl-test-aws1-	-Leaf1Vpc	Cloud Leaf	101.2.0.0/16	dev	Yes	63191847781 7	vpc-010052f7a85 f8	50f7
		Export to CS	v						Showin	g 5 of 33 rows (1 filter a	ictive)

Figure 20: Multi-Cloud Dashboard in CloudVision

In addition to the dashboards, real-time topology views have been updated to include information on DPS and the CNPS across the entire network. This feature provides for a visual representation of the setup with the necessary information overlaid on the topology for easier verification and troubleshooting.

Connectivity between claosMULTILEAFEdge1cloude os2 and claosMULTILEAF- CloudEOSEdge2 (DPS tunnel)								
Member links Flows Active Events								
12.0.2.101 ↔ 54.166.85.67 Transceiver speed N/A Transceiver type N/A View Stats	TVEOS		<b>3</b>					
Peer IP: 23.0.0.2 - Via Ethernet1 Group: groupInternet Type: Encrypted Path State: Resolved	VPC: claosMULTIL	EAFLaaMVnet VPC: claosMULTILE	AFLeaf2Vnet		VPC: claosMULTIL	AF+LeaMVoc VPC: claosMULTILE	VPC: claosMULT AF-Lea(2Vpc	ILEAF-Leaf3Vpc VPC: claosMULTILEAF-
045 10:00 10:15 10:30		1. 40				1.1		2
Packet Loss ov.	ARISTA		ARISTA			ARIST		
Jitter 0 ms	claosMULTILEAFEdge1cloudeos2		azedgeRR1			claosMULTILEAF-CI	loudEOSEdge2	CloudEOSEdan1
Latency 35.63 ms	Cla	SWOLTELA Eugercloudeus I					ClausmothEthr	CloudeOsedger
Throughput 0 Meps								
● 100.2.2.101 ↔ 52.148.173.2 Transceiver speed N/A Transceiver type N/A View Stats								
								Multiple values prod dev Dev
	@ @ A Jul 25, 2020 09:43:25 - No	N						Show Last: 1h 30m 5m 30s
	9:00	12:00	15:00	18,00	21:00	Jul 25, 2020	3:00	6.00
								I.

Figure 21: Topology View with Cloud Segments and DPS Path Information in CloudVision

**Endpoint Flow:** Endpoint flow provides for an EOS specific as well as a network-wide view into the application flows - including top talkers, heat maps as well as path of that flow for an enterprise-wide view into the flows. This is enabled via IPFIX/SFlow being sent over to CVP.

•





Figure 22: Flow Tracking View in CloudVision



Figure 23: End to End Flow on Topology View in CloudVision

**Network-wide Event View:** CloudVision receives streaming telemetry from all the devices registered to it. The backend analytics engine compares events and categories faults based on their criticality thereby removing "noise" allowing you to concentrate on issues/events that need one's attention.

.



ARISTA	Devices	Events	Provisioning	Metrics	CloudTracer	Topology			Mock Data	✓ cvpadmin ✓	ø
Showing events of	severity ① Info o	rhigher Itt		Found Showing ev	301 events of vents from Mar 14,	on <b>12 devices (11 de</b> 2019 until now (about a day)	vices tota	1)	9	Configure Alerts	
(i) golf EOS version ( Mar 15, 2019 1	hanged 6:26:44 AEDT • Ong	joing		Most Active	Devices						
A Ethernet3 of	n bravo			charlie		4 🔥		4 🚺	16 🔺	6 (i)	
High voltage of Mar 15, 2019 1	letected on SFP tra 6:22:27 AEDT - Las	ansceiver ted 4 minutes		golf		з 🔥		9 🌒	14 🔺	3 (j)	
(i) Ethernet3 of	(i) Ethernet3 on hotel and Ethernet2 on a			hotel		з 🔥		8 🅕	14 🔺	4 🕡	
Link went down expectedly Mar 15, 2019 16:18:22 AEDT • Lasted 7 minutes			device-w	ith-a-much-longer-	name 3 🔥		4 🕕	16 🔺	5 🕧		
d golf TerminAttr ver	sion too low	ning		alpha		2 🔥	1	2 🌗	11 🔺	3 (j)	
A alpha	aloha			Most Comm	non Events			Event Se	verities		
Routing table Mar 15, 2019 1	approaching utiliza 5:59:39 AEDT • Las	tion threshold ted 6 minutes		Abnorma	Ily Large Frames		15	🔥 Crit	ical	32	
🛕 Ethernet2 of	n charlie			LANZ Queue Threshold Exceeded			14		or	96	
High tempera Mar 15, 2019 1	High temperature detected on QSFP transc Mar 15, 2019 15:55:44 AEDT - Ongoing			High CPU Temperature 11			11	🔺 Warning		127	
device-with	device-with-a-much-longer-name			Clock No	t Synchronized		11	(i) Info	)	46	
Mar 15, 2019 1	5:50:12 AEDT • Ong	loing		BGP Not	ification		10				
Ethernet2 of Input errors d Mar 15, 2019 1	n india etected 5:49:39 AEDT • Las	ted a minute	€ Q ≡	18:00	21:00	Mar 15, 2019 3:00	6:00		9:00 12	:00 15:00	
A charlie											

Figure 24: Events View in CloudVision

**Compliance View:** Compliance view allows you to see the compliance of your network. This includes Bug Alerts, Security vulnerability alerts, Configuration and software image compliance across all installed Arista assets in the customer's environment.



Figure 25: Compliance Dashboard in CloudVision

• Anomaly Detection: CloudVision provides for predictive analysis where the CloudVision Analytics engine uses advanced AI/ML algorithms to proactively detect deviations from the baseline before this change causes widespread degradation in service.

.



Lasted 2 minute Event on cvp-	Acknowledge     Event Generation						
Cloudtracer latency	Cloudtracer latency values were detected outside historical bounds. The historical bounds are indicated by the envelope around the historical latency						
-							
CloudTracer Latency ar	nd Anomaly Graph						
600				41			
400				IA.			
				ru			
200	1		Л., "				
0	Latency: 185.2 ms Historical Upper Bound: 215.2 ms Historical Newer: 187.2 ms Historical Lower Bound: 159.1 ms						
13	25:40 13:30	13:45	14:00	14-15			
Anomaly Score	Latency Lat	ency Mean 🔄 Historical Bounds 📕 Ar	nomalous Latency 🔲 Outside of Historical E	ounds			
	25:40 13:30	13:45	14.00	Cheve Lasts the 20cm Err 20			
Critical Error Warning Infe	13:16:24 - Apr 16, 2020 14:26:24 6:00 9:00	12:00	00 18:00	Show Last: 11 dum sm du 21:00 Apr 17, 2020			
	Lasted 2 minute Event on cyp- Cloudtracer latency ar co 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20	Lated 2 minutes - Apr 16, 2020 14:16:24 PDT - 12 hours age Event on cxp-If-20: Detected anomaly in CloudTracer CloudTracer Latency and Anomaly Graph CloudTracer Latency and Anomaly Graph	Lasted 2 minutes - Apr 16, 2020 14/16/24 PD7 - 12 hours ago Event on cyp-If-20: Detected anomaly in CloudTracer latency metric for host azure-seasia CloudTracer Latency values were detected outside historical bounds. The historical bounds are in CloudTracer Latency and Anomaly Graph	Lasted 2 minutes - Apr 16, 2020 1416/24 PDT - 12 hours ago Event on cxp-If-20: Detected anomaly in CloudTracer latency metric for host azure-seasia CloudTracer Latency values were detected outside historical bounds. The historical bounds are indicated by the envelope around the historical four for the first of the envelope around the historical bounds are indicated by the envelope around the historical four first of the envelope around the envelope around the historical four first of the envelope around the historical four first of the envelope around the historical four first of the envelope around the envelope around the envelope around the historical four first of the envelope around the envelope			

Figure 26: Anomaly Detection in CloudVision

Address Search: This feature allows you to search for an endpoint in the hybrid multi-cloud setup. This endpoint could reside in any cloud - be it public or on-premise private cloud.

Devices > Address Se	arch					
ventory ompliance Overview	Search for MAC and IP Addresse	es here to find Devices	100.10.10.101		0 Q	
ddress Search	Results for: 100.10.10.101	Vlan110 Config		Show in Topology Show Results for 00:10:10:00:01:01		
mparison	8 hours ago	Description:				
dm 8 b dm dm 8 b dm	dm1-261sw11-Leaf22-DC2 on port Vian110	Forwarding Model:		Vlan110 Details		
	8 hours ago	Loopback Mode:		Burned-in MAC Address:		
	dm1-261sw12-Leaf21-DC2 on port Vlan110	Uni Link Mode: Access VLAN:	N/A	Duplex:		
	dm1-261sw11-Leaf22-DC2 on port Vlan310	Port Channel:	N/A	Speed: Auto Negotiation Mode:		
	8 hours ago	LACP Type: LLDP Neighbors with Hostnar	N/A mes: N/A	MTU:		
	dm1-261sw12-Leaf21-DC2 on port Vlan310					
	4 hours ago	Vlan110 on dm1-261sw11-Leaf22-DC2 Traffic	Metrics	Device Addresses		
dm1-261sw13-Leaf12-DC2 on port Vlan110		115 130	Mac Address: 00:10:10:00:01:01	00:10:10:00:01:01		
	4 hours ago	Description ()	IP Address: 100.10.101			
	dm1-261sw13-Leaf12-DC2 on port Vlan310	Bitrate In				
		Bitrate Out				
		Vlan110 on dm1-261sw11-Leaf22-DC2 Error M	Metrics			
		115 130	145 2:00			
		Errors In 🕐				
		From Both C				

Figure 27: Address Search View in CloudVision

•



#### Summary

Now with Arista CloudEOS solution, customers can build the network at the 'speed of cloud' using repeatable design patterns powered by CloudEOS Router, deployed and provisioned by Terraform and CloudVison, and operate a consistent, secure multi-cloud environment with EOS and CloudVision across data center, campus and public clouds.

#### Appendix

VPC	Virtual Private Cloud
VNET	Virtual Network
TGW	Transit Gateway
CNPS	Cloud Network Private Segment
DPS	Dynamic Path Selection
DPDK	Data Plane Development Kit
VXLAN	Virtual Extensible LAN
VTEP	Virtual Tunnel Endpoint
EOS	Extensible Operating System
CVP	Cloud Vision Portal
CSP	Cloud Service Provider
IPSEC	IP Security
BGP	Border Gateway Protocol
EVPN	Ethernet Virtual Private Network
NRLI	Network Layer Reachability Information
VRF	Virtual Routing and Forwarding
RR	Route Reflector
ISP	Internet Service Provider

#### Santa Clara—Corporate Headquarters

5453 Great America Parkway, Santa Clara, CA 95054

Phone: +1-408-547-5500 Fax: +1-408-538-8920 Email: info@arista.com Ireland—International Headquarters 3130 Atlantic Avenue Westpark Business Campus Shannon, Co. Clare Ireland

Vancouver—R&D Office 9200 Glenlyon Pkwy, Unit 300 Burnaby, British Columbia Canada V5J 5J8

San Francisco—R&D and Sales Office 1390 Market Street, Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94102

#### India—R&D Office

Global Tech Park, Tower A, 11th Floor Marathahalli Outer Ring Road Devarabeesanahalli Village, Varthur Hobli Bangalore, India 560103

Singapore—APAC Administrative Office 9 Temasek Boulevard #29-01, Suntec Tower Two Singapore 038989

Nashua—R&D Office 10 Tara Boulevard Nashua, NH 03062



Copyright © 2025 Arista Networks, Inc. All rights reserved. CloudVision, and EOS are registered trademarks and Arista Networks is a trademark of Arista Networks, Inc. All other company names are trademarks of their respective holders. Information in this document is subject to change without notice. Certain features may not yet be available. Arista Networks, Inc. assumes no responsibility for any errors that may appear in this document. 09-0004-02 April 17, 2025